Twinning Project "Strengthening the capacities of the Bureau ofMetrology for internal market integration"Twinning ref. MK 12 IPA EC 01 16 TWL

A Project funded by the European Union and Implemented and led by CMI

EU MEASURING INSTRUMENTS DIRECTIVE (MID) GENERAL PRINCIPLES AND REQUIREMENTS



Pavel Klenovský, Czech Metrology Institute





This Project is funded by the European Union







- established by the Treaty of Rome in 1957, the treaty is that of Lisbon creating the EU
- nowadays a high level of integration: common (single) market, common currency – the EUR
- based on 4 freedoms: free movement of goods, services, persons and capital
- the goal: elimination of barriers caused by different regulations in the Member States (MS)





- EU a zone of free trade (and at the same time, a customs union)
- consequently, the legislation has to be split into 2 parts:
 - harmonized regulated area e.g. oldapproach and new approach directives
 - non-harmonized regulated area legislation on the national level + principles of mutual recognition







Legal acts adopted by common legislative bodies (the Council, the EP):

- regulations immediately effective without any transposition on the national level (e.g. CAP)
- directives have to be transposed into national legislations of MS, mainly used to built up the Single Market incl. technical requirements for products
- decisions applicable only to those for which it is written (e.g. supervision over the competition)





Legal acts adopted by common legislative bodies (the Council, the EP):

- recommendations, opinions not legally binding
- resolutions gives attitudes and approaches of the EU to various problems
- judgements of the European Court of Justice – represents jurisprudence of the Court
- Green and White Papers discussion documents (White Papers: strategic planning documents)



- we are interested only in the elimination of technical barriers to trade with products (incl. MIs)
- since the sixties of 20th century so called traditional (old) approach was applied: <u>all the details were described</u> <u>in the directives</u>
- in the eighties this approach proved to be ineffective and it was a barrier to technical progress





on the other hand, the advantage was that no important technical requirement was ommitted (various specifics of products could be taken on board) – see the unbearable situation in the area of medical devices (MDD)





- in the nineties so called new and global approach for preparation of directives for technical requirements to products was introduced
- the primary goal here is to strengthen the integration (free movement of goods), not primarily protection of public interests (consumers) which is the main remit of legal metrology – a potential problem





- the harmonization is confined only to so called essential requirements
- Only products fulfilling the essential requirements are allowed to be put on the market and into use
- harmonized standards published in the Official Journal of the EU form a presumption of conformity with the corresponding essential requirements





the use of harmonized standards or other technical specifications is voluntary manufacturers can freely choose any technical solutions establishing conformity with the essential requirements

manufacturers can freely choose any
 combination of modules of conformity
 assessment given in the corresponding
 directive (to be carried out by either the
 manufacturer or so called Notified Body)





- if a given product fulfills the requirements of all the directives that are related to it the manufacturer is permitted to draw up a EU Declaration of conformity and to affix the CE marking (+ supplementary metrology marking in metrology) to the product
- **CE marking (at least 5 mm high):**

CE M 07 1383



- Unlike in the traditional approaches (everything had to be tested on the national level by an authorized body) the new approach is built on 3 pillars:
 - activities of the manufacturer + its legal liability for any damage caused by its product
 - the existence of totally independent notified bodies for given operations of conformity assessment
- market surveillance
- **NBs are not responsible for everything !**





- the second new approach EU directive in metrology after NAWID
- the first version: 2004/22/EC becoming effective on October 30, 2006
- following the EU New Legal Framework revised as 2014/32/EU becoming effective on April 20, 2016









- watermeters
- **gasmeters + gas conversion devices**
- active electrical energy meters
- heatmeters (now thermal energy meters)
- measuring systems for continous and dynamic measurement of quantities of liquids other than water
- automatic weighing instruments
- taximeters
- material measures
- dimensional measuring instruments
- exhaust gas analyzers





Which MIs are not covered



EASURING

RUMENTS

CTIVF 🔞

- capacity measures
- Ievel gauges
- CNG dispensers
- road tankers with level gauges
- measuring systems for ethanol (used in small destilleries)

European Commission

- speedometers
- breath analyzers
- reactive electricity meters
- measuring transformers





- **General provisions**
- Annex I Essential requirements
- Annex II Modules of conformity assessment
- Annex III Instrument specific requirements (MI-001 Watermeters etc., MI-005 MIs for liquids other than water)
- Note: the requirements of Annex I are often not taken into account(disregarded) !





- Art.7, par. 3 a Member State may require a measuring instrument to satisfy provisions governing its putting into use that are justified by local climatic conditions
 - in such a case, the Member State shall choose appropriate upper and lower temperature limits from Table 1 of Annex I and may specify humidity conditions (condensing or non-condensing)





- Art. 8, par. 6 when different accuracy classes are defined in the corresponding directive, then:
 - either their application is given by the product-specific requirements, or
 - a MS may determine the accuracy classes to be used for specific applications within the classes defined



Economic operators – various duties are given by MID to them:

- **manufacturer**
- authorized representative any natural or legal person established within the Union who has received a written mandate from a manufacturer to act on his behalf in relation to specified tasks
- importer any natural or legal person established within the Union who places a measuring instrument from a third country on the Union market



Economic operators – various duties are given by MID to them:

distributor - any natural or legal person in the supply chain, other than the manufacturer or the importer, who makes a measuring instrument available on the market



General provisions – selected topics



Art. 7, par. 4 – manufacturers shall indicate on the measuring instrument their name, registered trade name or registered trade mark and the postal address at which they can be contacted or, where that is not possible, in a document accompanying the measuring instrument and on the packaging, if any, in accordance with point 9.2 of Annex





Art. 22, par. 1 – the CE marking and the supplementary metrology marking shall be affixed visibly, legibly and indelibly to the measuring instrument or to its data plate

where that is not possible or not warranted on account of the nature of the measuring instrument, they shall be affixed to the accompanying documents and to the packaging, if any





- Art. 46, par. 1 the Commission shall be assisted by the Committee on Measuring Instruments
 - that committee shall be a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011
 - this Committee approves WELMEC
 guides to become official guidance to
 the implementation of MID







- 1. Allowable errors (maximum permissible errors - MPE) – given in the instrumentspecific annexes
 - climatic, mechanical,
 electromagnetic environments,
 ambient humidity
 - for MI-001 005 annexes the rule for non-exploatation of errors (originally in 2009/137/EC)



ERROR & MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE ERROR





7. Suitability

- 7.1 A measuring instrument shall have no feature likely to facilitate fraudulent use, whereas possibilities for unintentional misuse shall be minimal.
 - 7.6. A measuring instrument shall be designed so as to allow the control of the measuring tasks after the instrument has been placed on the market and put into use. If necessary, special equipment or software for this control shall be part of the instrument. The test procedure shall be described in the operation manual.





7. Suitability

When a measuring instrument has associated software which provides other functions besides the measuring function, the software that is critical for the metrological characteristics shall be identifiable and shall not be inadmissibly influenced by the associated software.







8. Protection against corruption

8.1 The metrological characteristics of a measuring instrument shall not be influenced in any inadmissible way by the connection to it of another device, by any feature of the connected device itself or by any remote device that communicates with the measuring instrument.

8.2. A hardware component that is critical for metrological characteristics shall be designed so that it can be secured. Security measures foreseen shall provide for evidence of an intervention.





8. Protection against corruption

- 8.3. Software that is critical for metrological characteristics shall be identified as such and shall be secured.
- Software identification shall be easily provided by the measuring instrument.
- Evidence of an intervention shall be available for a reasonable period of time.







8. Protection against corruption

8.4. Measurement data, software that is critical for measurement characteristics and metrologically important parameters stored or transmitted shall be adequately protected against accidental or intentional corruption.





- **2** aspects have to be covered:
 - Conformity with essential requirements (typical module: B)
 - Conformity with the approved type typical modules: F or D)
 - both aspects can be covered by 1 module – e.g. module G





Module B EU type examination

- is the part of a conformity assessment procedure in which a notified body examines the technical design of an instrument and verifies and attests that the technical design of the instrument meets the requirements of this Directive that apply to it
- based on testing of samples delivered by the manufacturer





Module B EU type examination

- is the part of a conformity assessment procedure in which a notified body examines the technical design of an instrument and verifies and attests that the technical design of the instrument meets the requirements of this Directive that apply to it
- based on testing of samples delivered by the manufacturer
- covers conformity with essential requirements only, similar to classical type approval



- Module D Conformity to type based on quality assurance of the production proces
- is the part of a conformity assessment procedure whereby the manufacturer fulfils the obligations laid down in points 2 and 5, and ensures and declares on his sole responsibility that the measuring instruments concerned are in conformity with the type described in the EU-type examination certificate and satisfy the requirements of this Directive that apply to them





- Module D Conformity to type based on quality assurance of the production proces
 - the manufacturer shall operate an approved quality system for production, final product inspection and testing of the measuring instruments concerned and shall be subject to surveillance by a Notified Body of its choice (usually 1 in a year)
 - covers conformity to the approved type only





- Module F Conformity to type based on product verification (EU verification)
- a notified body chosen by the manufacturer shall carry out the appropriate examinations and tests, or have them carried out, to verify the conformity of the instruments with the type as described in the EU-type examination certificate and the appropriate requirements of this Directive
 - enables the use of statistical procedures (e.g. for communal MIs)
 - covers conformity to the approved type only, similar to classical verification



Module G Conformity based on unit verification

a notified body chosen by the manufacturer shall carry out the appropriate examinations and tests set out in the relevant harmonized standards and/or normative documents to verify the conformity of the instruments with the applicable requirements of this Directive, or have them carried out and the appropriate requirements of this Directive





Module G Conformity based on unit verification

- typical situation: the MI assembled on site from various parts and subassemblies as a unique MI
- the existence of an EU type examination certificate is not required !
- covers both conformity to the essential requirements and to the approved type
- rather typical for MIs for liquids other than water (MI-005), the WELMEC modular system is essential here





- Optionality principle: does not force any Member State to start regulating a MI under MID (if before they have been not regulated)
- CA modules: module A + modules with the accredited lab of the manufacturer (modules C) very rarely used
- typical combinations: B + D, B + F, G
 - a controversial module H not applied, only its clone H1 (requiring Design examination), CA according to module H not recognized outside Europe

Main general features



- Annexes MI-001, MI-002, MI-003, MI-004 -MID includes only measuring instruments intended for residential, commercial and light industrial use (?) – no definition given up to now
- MI-001 (watermeters): old mechanical ones negatively influenced by intermittent flow, now prevailing
- MI-004 (heatmeters): "official" subassemblies (flow sensor, temperature sensor pair and calculator), the complete instrument to be tested for integrity



- MI-008, chapter II Capacity serving measures: module A1 deleted, replaced by module A2
- modular approach (voluntary system of modular evaluation - WELMEC doc. 8.8)
 - a concept outside the harmonized legislation, important for module G frequently used for MIs MI-005, evaluation certificates for parts and sub-assemblies



- What if technical requirements are changed (e.g. by way of a harmonized standard) ? Do the issued certificates remain valid ?
 - Yes, but both manufacturers and NBs have to start working on adapting the products to the new requirements.
- NBs: need to operate EMC testing facilities and SW validation unit





- hardware parts being replaced by software more prone to manipulations (validation/testing of SW)
- download of new SW
- mobile communications remote fraudulent control of MIs by mobile devices
- everything should now be smart
- shared economy (Uber taximeters)
- **GPS systems**



 Twinning Project "Strengthening the capacities of the Bureau of

 Metrology for internal market integration"

 Twinning ref. MK 12 IPA EC 01 16 TWL

A Project funded by the European Union and Implemented and led by CMI







This Project is funded by the European Union



oject Implemented by the CMI